

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11187

Claimant: WOOLCO DEPARTMENT STORE-WOODHAVEN MALL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11188

Claimant: W.F. HINCHEY CONT.,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11189

Claimant: W. PA MOTOR CLUB JOB-HEADQUARTERS BLDG.,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11190

Claimant: UNITY HOUSE-ADMINISTRATION BLDG.,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11191

Claimant: UNIONTOWN NEWSPAPER BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11192

Claimant: SUNBURY HI-RISE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11193

Claimant: ST. VINCENT`S HOSPITAL - ADDITION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11194

Claimant: ST. VINCENT'S HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11195

Claimant: ST. MARY'S MEDICAL CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11196

Claimant: SHERATON NORTH MOTOR INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11197

Claimant: SHENANGO HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11198

Claimant: PITTSBURGH NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11199

Claimant: SHAMOKIN HIGH RISE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11200

Claimant: SCOTTISH RIGHTS CAD. TEMPLE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11201

Claimant: PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11202

Claimant: CENTERCREST HOME-NURSING FACILITIES ADDI,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11203

Claimant: NISSLEY BOTTLED GAS COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11204

Claimant: NEW GREASE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11205

Claimant: CAUSEWAY BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11206

Claimant: CHARITY SCHOOL OF NURSING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11207

Claimant: LAFAYETTE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11208

Claimant: LADY OF LOURDES,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11209

Claimant: FAIRVIEW HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11210

Claimant: EARL K. LONG CHARITY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11211

Claimant: CONVENTION HALL CITY OF SHREVEPORT,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11212

Claimant: CHATEAU LEMOYNE HOTEL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11213

Claimant: PLAUCHE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11214

Claimant: ONE SHELL SQUARE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11215

Claimant: LITTLE SISTERS OF THE POOR,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11216

Claimant: ST. FRANCIS HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11217

Claimant: U.S. NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11218

Claimant: MIDLAND HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11219

Claimant: MT. CARMEL MERCY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11220

Claimant: ROBBINS TOWERS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11221

Claimant: SAGINAW CIVIC CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11222

Claimant: ST. JOSEPH HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☒ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11223

Claimant: TRENTON CITY HALL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☒ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11225

Claimant: TOWER APARTMENT BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11226

Claimant: WEST JERSEY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11227

Claimant: TERESA OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11228

Claimant: SHERMAN BUILDING TWIN TOWERS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11229

Claimant: PACIFIC HEIGHTS APARTMENTS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11230

Claimant: KONG CHOW BENEVOLENT BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11231

Claimant: CROCKER-CITIZENS PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11232

Claimant: CONTINENTAL BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11233

Claimant: EMBARCADERO BART,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11234

Claimant: ILLINOIS MASONIC HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11235

Claimant: WASHINGTON TRUST BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11236

Claimant: BAYSHORE HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11237

Claimant: DIAMOND SHAMROCK BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11238

Claimant: SOUTHWICK SHOPPING CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11239

Claimant: PORTLAND AIRPORT,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11240

Claimant: SACRED HEART HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11241

Claimant: WASHINGTON COUNTY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11242

Claimant: CHILDREN`S HOSPITAL OF PITTSBURGH OF UPM,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11243

Claimant: ST. JOSEPH'S HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11244

Claimant: SOUTHWESTERN BELL-FKA BELL TELEPHONE BLD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11245

Claimant: UNION BANK JOB NKA NATIONS BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11246

Claimant: GREAT PLAINS INSURANCE COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11247

Claimant: ST. LUKE'S HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11248

Claimant: CAGLE OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11249

Claimant: ST. LUKE`S HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11250

Claimant: BLUE CROSS BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grae asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11251

Claimant: GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11252

Claimant: METHODIST HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11253

Claimant: YMCA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11254

Claimant: UIHLEIN MERCY CENTER - SISTERS OF MERCY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11255

Claimant: WOOD COUNTY BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11256

Claimant: AAA DISTRIBUTING OFFICE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.